



Linda Reuter
Principal



5115 Dudley Blvd.
Bldg A,
McClellan, CA
95651
(916) 566-1600

Board of Trustees

Janis Green
Michelle Rivas
Alecia Eugene Chasten
Bob Bastian
Cortez Quinn
Roger Westrup
Linda Fowler

Frank Porter
Superintendent

Twin Rivers Unified School District

Foothill Oaks

Elementary School

5520 Lancelot Drive, Sacramento, CA 95842 ♦ (916) 566-1830
2008-09 School Accountability Report Card

School Vision Statement

The school's vision is one in which the staff, community and students work together to encourage responsibility, integrity and an enthusiasm for learning. We truly believe that "Teamwork Makes the Dream Work" as we all work together to make decisions that are good for children. There are high expectations for academic achievement coupled with a school wide effort to support all children who are not meeting standards. Parent involvement is high and the culture at Foothill Oaks is positive and productive.

District & School Profile

Twin Rivers Unified School District is California's newest unified school district, combining the former North Sacramento, Del Paso Heights, Rio Linda Union, and Grant Joint Union High School Districts. Started in the 2008-09 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District is located in Sacramento County and has 34 elementary schools, six junior high schools, five high schools, six charter schools, and seven alternative education schools.

Foothill Oaks Elementary School had a beginning enrollment of 595 students in grades kindergarten through six for the 2008-09 school year. Student demographics are illustrated in the chart.

| Student Enrollment by Ethnic Group | |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| 2008-09 | |
| | Percentage |
| African American | 11.6% |
| American Indian | 1.0% |
| Asian | 2.7% |
| Caucasian | 47.7% |
| Filipino | 1.2% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 23.9% |
| Pacific Islander | 1.0% |
| Multiple or No Response | 10.9% |

Foothill Oaks Elementary School is a partnership of families, community and educators, preparing students to become active and responsible citizens in a diverse society. The school's rigorous standards-based curriculum, enriched by differentiated instruction in each classroom and a student-centered environment, defines Foothill Oaks Elementary School. Our student-centered and standards-based programs are designed to meet the academic, personal and social needs of the elementary student, giving each the opportunity to experience success. We do so by engaging students in a challenging curriculum that provides a solid foundation of academic skills in a safe learning environment.

Discipline & Climate for Learning

Foothill Oaks Elementary School fosters a learning environment which reinforces the concepts of self-discipline and the acceptance of personal responsibility for our actions. The BEST (Building Effective Schools Together) committee was formed to provide a safe school plan which includes measuring behavior trends and providing solutions to maintain a positive school community. All staff are trained to implement the Second Step Violence Prevention Program throughout the school. Clear expectations and consequences are communicated and enforced to help keep our school safe and sound.

Additionally, our school is embracing the Latino Literacy Project, which supports entire families to learn to read. All classrooms deliver small group differentiated instruction to meet the individual needs of every child and reach academic success.

The suspensions and expulsions for the past three years are illustrated in the chart. Suspensions are reported as total number of incidents, not students suspended, as some students may have been suspended on multiple occasions. Suspensions and expulsions rates reflect incidents divided by total enrollment.

| | Suspensions & Expulsions | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| | School | | | District | | |
| | 06-07 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 06-07 | 07-08 | 08-09 |
| Suspensions | 115 | 76 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 10898 |
| Suspension Rate | 18.5% | 12.8% | 11.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 35.2% |
| Expulsions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Expulsion Rate | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% |

Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.

Student Recognition

Foothill Oaks Elementary School's comprehensive student recognition program contributes to the positive environment of the school and includes classroom and schoolwide awards. There is a schoolwide focus on achievement and citizenship. Students are recognized for the following:

- Wolf Paw Drawings
- Lunch Time Rewards
- Lifeskills

Extracurricular Activities

Foothill Oaks Elementary School recognizes that extracurricular activities enrich the educational and social development and experiences of students. Extracurricular activities offered at Foothill Oaks Elementary School include the following:

- Dress-up Days
- Community Fun Runs
- Running Club
- Student Activities Committee

School Enrollment & Attendance

School districts receive financial support from the state for the education of the students they serve based on how many students attend each day. Most importantly, attendance is critical to academic achievement and regular daily attendance is a priority at Foothill Oaks Elementary School. Student attendance is carefully monitored to identify those students exhibiting excessive absences.

Attendance, tardy, and truancy policies are clearly stated, consistently enforced, and consequences fairly administered. Parents are advised of their responsibilities, including proper notification of when and why students are absent. The school monitors student attendance very closely, makes phone calls to parents on a daily basis, and will send letters home if absences become a problem.

Students are referred to the School Attendance Review Board (SARB) when they have persistent attendance and behavior problems in school, and when the normal avenues of classroom, school, and district counseling are not effective.

This chart illustrates the enrollment trend by grade level for the past three school years.

| Enrollment Trend by Grade Level | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| K | 61 | 63 | 98 |
| 1st | 79 | 74 | 84 |
| 2nd | 97 | 79 | 70 |
| 3rd | 99 | 100 | 78 |
| 4th | 86 | 99 | 98 |
| 5th | 95 | 89 | 82 |
| 6th | 106 | 90 | 85 |



Class Size

Average class sizes vary by grade level and subject area taught. The table indicates the average class size by grade level or subject area, as well as the number of classes offered in reference to their enrollment.

| Class Size Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----|----|------------------------|----|----|----------------|----|----|--------------|----|----|
| | Average Class Size | | | Classrooms Containing: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1-20 Students | | | 21-32 Students | | | 33+ Students | | |
| | 07 | 08 | 09 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 07 | 08 | 09 |
| K | 20 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 1 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| 2 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | 29 | 33 | 31 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 28 | 30 | 28 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 6 | 30 | 30 | 27 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - |

Class Size Reduction

In 1996, state legislature approved implementation of the Class Size Reduction (CSR) program that provides funding to hire additional teachers to assist schools in reducing K-3 class sizes. Foothill Oaks Elementary began implementing CSR for grades kindergarten through three in 1996-1997. The table displays the percentage of K-3 classrooms that participated in CSR for the past three school years.

| CSR Participation | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| K | 67% | 33% | 80% |
| 1 | 100% | 100% | 25% |
| 2 | 80% | 50% | 100% |
| 3 | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Curriculum Development

All curriculum development at Foothill Oaks Elementary School revolves around the California State Content Standards and Frameworks. The writing and implementation of the Twin Rivers Unified School District's curriculum is an ongoing process. The district's curriculum guides are updated regularly to align with the state standards, district goals, and the statewide assessment program. Teachers align classroom curriculum to ensure that all students either meet or exceed state proficiency levels.

Instructional Materials

Twin Rivers Unified School District held a public hearing in October 2009, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of *Williams vs. the State of California*. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All teachers have the opportunity to review the materials.

All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

| District-Adopted Textbooks | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Grade Levels | Subject | Publisher | Adoption Year | Sufficient | % Lacking |
| K-6 | English/ Language Arts | Houghton Mifflin | 2004 | Yes | 0.0% |
| K-6 | History/Social Studies | Pearson Scott Foresman | 2007 | Yes | 0.0% |
| K-6 | Mathematics | Pearson Scott Foresman | 2009 | Yes | 0.0% |
| 6th | Science | Houghton Mifflin | 2007 | Yes | 0.0% |
| K-5 | Science | Pearson Scott Foresman | 2007 | Yes | 0.0% |

Additional Internet Access/Public Libraries

For additional research materials and Internet availability, students are encouraged to visit the public libraries located in the city and county of Sacramento, which contain numerous computer workstations. Visit www.saclibrary.org for more information and directions to the nearest branch.

Counseling & Support Staff

It is the goal of Foothill Oaks Elementary School to assist students in their social and personal development as well as academics. The school gives special attention to students who experience achievement problems, difficulty coping with personal and family problems, trouble with decision making, or handling peer pressure. The table lists the support service personnel available at Foothill Oaks Elementary School.

| Counseling & Support Services Staff | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Number of Staff | Full Time Equivalent |
| Curriculum Support Teacher | 1 | 1.0 |
| Health Assistant | 1 | 0.30 |
| Library Clerk | 1 | 0.74 |
| Nurse | 1 | 0.2 |
| Psychologist | 1 | 0.5 |
| Resource Specialist | 2 | 2.0 |
| RSP Aide | 2 | 1.5 |
| Speech and Language Specialist | 1 | 0.5 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>.

The first table displays the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight by scale score and achievement level.

| National Assessment of Educational Progress | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|
| Reading and Mathematics Results for Grades 4 & 8 | | | | | |
| By Performance Level - All Students | | | | | |
| | Average Scale Score | | % at Each Achievement Level | | |
| | State | National | Basic | Proficient | Advanced |
| Reading 2007 Grade 4 | 209 | 220 | 30 | 18 | 5 |
| Reading 2007 Grade 8 | 251 | 261 | 41 | 20 | 2 |
| Math 2009 Grade 4 | 232 | 239 | 41 | 25 | 5 |
| Math 2009 Grade 8 | 270 | 282 | 36 | 18 | 5 |

The second table displays the participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) by students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

**National Assessment of Educational Progress
Reading and Mathematics Results for
Students with Disabilities (SD) and/or English Language Learners (ELL)
By Grades 4 & 8 and Participation Rate - All Students**

| | Participation Rate | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|----------|-----|
| | State | | National | |
| | SD | ELL | SD | ELL |
| Reading 2007 Grade 4 | 74 | 93 | 65 | 80 |
| Reading 2007 Grade 8 | 78 | 92 | 66 | 77 |
| Math 2009 Grade 4 | 79 | 96 | 84 | 94 |
| Math 2009 Grade 8 | 85 | 96 | 78 | 92 |

NOTE: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school.

California Standards Test

The California Standards Test (CST), a component of the STAR Program, is administered to all students in the spring to assess student performance in relation to the State Content Standards. Student scores are reported as performance levels: Advanced (exceeds state standards), Proficient (meets standards), Basic (approaching standards), Below Basic (below standards), and Far Below Basic (well below standards). The combined percentage of students scoring at the Proficient and Advanced levels in English/Language Arts, Math, and Science, for the most recent three-year period, is shown. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.* For results on course specific tests, please see <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

| California Standards Test (CST) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------|------|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Subject | School | | | District | | | State | | |
| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| English/Language Arts | 34 | 36 | 43 | * | * | 38 | 43 | 46 | 50 |
| Mathematics | 42 | 48 | 59 | * | * | 35 | 40 | 43 | 46 |
| Science | 24 | 16 | 28 | * | * | 34 | 38 | 46 | 50 |
| History/Social Science | * | * | * | * | * | 25 | 33 | 36 | 41 |

**Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.*

California Standards Test (CST)

| Subgroups | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Subject | English/ Language Arts | Mathematics | Science | History/ Social Science |
| African American | 22 | 40 | 10 | * |
| American Indian | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 59 | 76 | * | * |
| Filipino | * | * | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26 | 46 | 30 | * |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * |
| Caucasian | 56 | 69 | 35 | * |
| Males | 42 | 59 | 28 | * |
| Females | 45 | 59 | 29 | * |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 36 | 54 | 27 | * |
| English Learners | 32 | 51 | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | 14 | 24 | * | * |
| Migrant Education | * | * | * | * |

**Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.*

Contact Information

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the Foothill Oaks Elementary School at 566-1830.

Federal Intervention Program

Schools and districts receiving Federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English/Language Arts or Mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

| Federal Intervention Programs | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | School | District |
| Program Improvement (PI) Status | Not in PI | Not in PI |
| First Year in PI | - | - |
| Year in PI (2009-10) | - | - |
| # of Schools Currently in PI | - | 25 |
| % of Schools Identified for PI | - | 40.98% |

Adequate Yearly Progress

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) is a federal law enacted in January 2002 that reauthorized the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). It mandates that all students (including students who are economically disadvantaged, are from racial or ethnic minority groups, have disabilities, or have limited English proficiency) in all grades meet the state academic achievement standards for Mathematics and English/Language Arts by 2014. Schools must demonstrate "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP) toward achieving that goal. The Federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) requirements:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English/Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics.
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics.
- API as an additional indicator.
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools).

There are several consequences for schools that do not meet the AYP standards, including additional tutoring and replacing of staff. Students would also be allowed to transfer to schools (within their district) that have met their AYP, and the former school would be required to provide the transportation to the new site. Results of school and district performance are displayed in the chart. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for two of the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | School | | District | |
| Made AYP Overall | No | | No | |
| Met AYP Criteria | English - Language Arts | Mathematics | English - Language Arts | Mathematics |
| Participation Rate | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Percent Proficient | No | Yes | No | No |
| API School Results | Yes | | Yes | |
| Graduation Rate | N/A | | No | |

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is a score on a scale of 200 to 1,000 that annually measures the academic performance and progress of individual schools in California. The state has set 800 as the API score that schools should strive to meet.

Statewide Rank: Schools receiving an API Base score are ranked in ten categories of equal size (deciles) from 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest), according to type of school (elementary, middle, or high school).

Similar Schools Rank: Schools also receive a ranking that compares that school to 100 other schools with similar demographic characteristics. Each set of 100 schools is ranked by API score from 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest) to indicate how well the school performed compared to similar schools.

Data Sources

Data within the SARC was provided by Twin Rivers Unified School District, retrieved from the 2008-09 SARC template, and/or located on Dataquest (<http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest>). Dataquest is a search engine, maintained by the California Department of Education (CDE), which allows the public to search for facts and figures pertaining to schools and districts throughout the state. Among the data available, parents and community may find information about school performance, test scores, student demographics, staffing, and student misconduct/intervention.

| API School Results | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| | 06-07 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 2009 API Growth Score |
| Statewide Rank | 4 | 3 | 3 | |
| Similar Schools Rank | 3 | 1 | 4 | |
| All Students | | | | |
| Actual Growth | -17 | 25 | 32 | 767 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | | | | |
| Actual Growth | -25 | 40 | 35 | 737 |
| African American | | | | |
| Actual Growth | | 28 | 5 | 642 |
| Hispanic or Latino | | | | |
| Actual Growth | 1 | 29 | -14 | 696 |
| Caucasian | | | | |
| Actual Growth | -30 | 27 | 58 | 830 |
| English Learners | | | | |
| Actual Growth | - | | 47 | 738 |

Teacher Assignment

Twin Rivers Unified recruits and employs the most qualified credentialed teachers. For the 2008-09 school year, Foothill Oaks Elementary School had 27 fully credentialed teachers who met all credential requirements in accordance with State of California guidelines. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

| | Teacher Credential Status | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| | School | | | District |
| | 06-07 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 08-09 |
| Fully Credentialed | 31 | 28 | 27 | 1489 |
| Without Full Credentials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Working Outside Subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Teacher misassignments reflect the number of placements within a school for which the certificated employee in the teaching or services position (including positions that involve teaching English Learners) does not hold a legally recognized certificate or credential. Teacher vacancies reflect the number of positions to which a single designated certificated employee has not been assigned at the beginning of the year for an entire year.

| | Misassignments/Vacancies | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Misassignments of Teachers (other) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Misassignments of Teachers | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Physical Fitness

In the spring of each year, Foothill Oaks Elementary School is required by the state to administer a physical fitness test to all students in fifth grade. The physical fitness test is a standardized evaluation that measures each student's ability to perform fitness tasks in six major areas. Students that meet or exceed the standards in all six fitness areas are considered to be physically fit or in the healthy fitness zone (HFZ). During the 2008-09 school year, 23.1% of fifth grade students were in the HFZ.

Parent Involvement

Foothill Oaks families have many opportunities to get involved lots of different ways. As we know families all have different levels of time and energy in which to contribute, we try as a staff to reach out to families in lots of different ways. All of which, we celebrate and support. Foothill Oaks has an active Parent Teacher Association (PTA) which are scheduling events, activities, and celebrations throughout the school year for students and their families. Some of the activities include monthly family movie nights, jog-a-thon, several book fairs, and other events planned throughout the school year. In addition, the school also offers a Running Club, for students and family members, a Student Services Committee, and projects for community service around the school. Foothill Oaks has leadership opportunities and other ways to get involved through our English Language Advisory Committee and School Site Council meetings. Parents are invited to grade level performances, back-to-school night, open house, field day, field trips, classroom events and special activities occurring throughout the year.

Communication is also a high priority for our families, so volunteering for events and activities are known for planning purposes. We have our own school website, and teachers are beginning work on their own classroom web pages for parents and students to access. We send home newsletters (the Howler) to families monthly, as well as sporadic notices with updates of the school calendar and functions for families. Our NTI phone calling system is used to support communication, as well as teachers connecting with families on a weekly basis.

Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal No Child Left Behind Act requires that all teachers in core subject areas meet certain requirements in order to be considered as "Highly Qualified" no later than the end of the 2006-07 school year. Minimum qualifications include: possession of a Bachelor's Degree, possession of an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated competence in core academic subjects. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

Teacher Evaluation & Professional Development

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

| | NCLB Compliant Teachers | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | % of Core Academic Courses Taught By NCLB Compliant Teachers | % of Core Academic Courses Taught By Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers |
| School | 100.0% | 0.0% |
| District | 97.4% | 2.6% |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 98.4% | 1.6% |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Evaluations are conducted by an administrator, who has been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria are: Demonstrates Effective Instructional Techniques and Strategies, Establishes and Maintains Suitable Learning Environment, Adherence to District Curricular Objectives, Demonstrates Effective Instructional Techniques and Strategies, Promotes Students' Progress Toward District Standards of Expected Student Achievement, Fulfills Instructional Duties and Professional Responsibilities.

Teachers and other certificated staff members build teaching skills and develop educational concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. In the 2008-2009 school year, the Twin Rivers District offered 3 non-student professional development days for elementary teachers and 5 non-student days for secondary teachers. The professional development sessions focused on a broad range of topics including California state core subjects, research-based differentiated teaching strategies, technology and increasing student achievement.

School Leadership

Leadership at Foothill Oaks Elementary School is a responsibility shared between district administration, the principal, instructional staff, students, and parents. The district's emphasis is on a well-balanced and rigorous core curriculum at all grade levels. Instructional programs are aligned with state and district standards. Leadership duties were assumed by Principal Linda Reuter. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the school plan to ensure the instructional programs are consistent with students needs and the school plan complies with district goals. Opportunities for leadership include:

- PTA
- School Site Council

School Facilities

The current facilities were built in 1994. There are 25 classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library/media center, a staff lounge, and two playgrounds.

School safety is a primary concern of Foothill Oaks Elementary School. The school is always in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Each classroom and office area has an Emergency Preparedness Guide. The school at large has regular fire drill, lockdown, and duck and cover procedure rehearsals. In recent years we have increased playground supervision, which has reduced the student to supervisory ratio on the yard. Our school can readily dispatch law enforcement through our district's Safe School Policy Officer Program. The safety plan was updated and reviewed with staff in August 2009.

The table shows the results of the most recent school facilities inspection. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been corrected or are in the process of remediation.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. All schools are on a regular cleaning schedule. Each classroom is swept or vacuumed and the trash emptied. The cafeteria, gym, and all restrooms are cleaned daily. Grounds are groomed on a rotating basis, with trash, lawn, and plumbing issues addressed daily.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. Two full-time custodians ensure that all classrooms and facilities are in adequate condition.

A work order process is used to ensure efficient service that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. At the time of publication, 100% of latrines are in complete working order.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components.

| School Facility Conditions | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------|------|---|
| Date of Last Inspection: 02/04/2009 | | | | |
| Overall Summary of School Facility Conditions: Poor | | | | |
| Items Inspected | Facility Component System Status | | | Deficiency & Remedial Actions Taken or Planned |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| Systems (Gas Leaks, Mech/HVAC, Sewer) | X | | | CI Rm #1 - Storage panels blocked. Cafeteria - Vents are dirty. Sink in cafe inoperable. |
| Interior | | X | | CI Rm #24 - Carpet needs re-stretching. ADA ramp needs grip tape installed. CI Rm #23 - Carpet needs to be repaired. MPR RR - Broken wall tiles. |
| Cleanliness (Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation) | X | | | CI Rm #1 KgG - ceiling tiles need replacement, no safety labels in Playground, Room cluttered. |
| Electrical | | | X | Main Office - Light bulb out in work room hall. CI Rm #7 - Projector cord on floor creating a trip hazard. CI Rm #10 - Light cover missing, bulb out. Bldg D POD - Electrical panels are blocked. CI Rm #16 - Power strips are tied together. Computer Room - Ballast in corner light fixture not working. Large Oak Area - Back stage missing lights, all lighting around Oak not working. Cafeteria - Electrical lights missing covers. Electrical wires exposed. |
| Restrooms/Fountains | | | X | Main Office - ADA RR signage not compliant. KgG CI Rm #2 - H2O fountain and sink inoperable. LMC RR - H2O fountain needs replacement. MPR RR - Left side of fountain inoperable. KgG CI Rm #2 - Fountain play area does not work. CI Rm #1 KgG - TV Cart inside stall. MPR RR - Ballast out. No seat covers. |
| Safety (Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials) | X | | | CI Rm #1 - Exit blocked by teachers desk. |
| Structural (Structural Damage, Roofs) | | | X | CI Rm #10 - Minor ceiling tile staining. CI Rm #13 - Replace and clean ceiling tiles with anti-stain. CI Rm #16 - ceiling tiles need replacement. CI Rm# 21 - Stained ceiling tiles. LMC - Ceiling tiles stained, reading tower entry has chipped paint. Computer Room - Ceiling tiles stained indicating roof leaks. Cafeteria - Shingles missing on roof. CI Rm #24 - Leaky roof with stained ceiling tiles. Nurses Office - Shower seat needs to be reattached, ceiling tile needs repair. |
| External (Grounds, Windows, Doors, Gates, Fences) | | | X | Large Oak Area - Irrigation valve needs repair. CI Rm #13 - Leaking door closure. |

Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, paving and floor systems. For the 2009-10 school year, the district has budgeted \$950,000.00 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents 0.39% of the district's general fund budget.

School Site Teacher Salaries

The chart illustrates the average teacher salary at the school and compares it to the average teacher salary at the district and state (based on FY 2007-08 financial statements). *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

| Average Teacher Salaries | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| School & District | |
| School | \$57,816 |
| District | - |
| Percentage of Variation | - |
| School & State | |
| All Unified School Districts | - |
| Percentage of Variation | - |

Teacher & Administrative Salaries

Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.

Expenditures & Services Funded

Based on 2007-08 FY audited financial statements, the table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools throughout the state. *Since the district opened in the 2008-09 school year there is no district data reported for the last three years. Being a new district it would not be appropriate to assign the performance of any of the old districts to the new district.*

In addition to general state funding, Twin Rivers Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical funds and other support programs:

- Targeted Instruction Improvement Block Grant**
 - PE Block Grant**
 - School and Library Improvement Block Grant**
 - EIA-LEP
 - Gifted and Talented Education
 - EIA-SCE
 - Comprehensive Student Support
 - Healthy Start
 - Quality Education Investment Act
 - Medi-Cal
 - After School Education & Safety
 - Head Start
 - School Counselor Program
 - State Lottery
 - English Language Acquisition Program
 - Title IV
 - Parent Involvement
 - Title I
 - Charter School Fund
 - Title III
 - Reading First
 - State Compensatory Education
 - Pupil Retention Block Grant
- **Effective 08/09

| Expenditures per Pupil | |
|---|---------|
| School | |
| Total Expenditures Per Pupil | \$5,465 |
| From Restricted Sources | \$1,160 |
| From Unrestricted Sources | \$4,306 |
| District | |
| From Unrestricted Sources | \$4,404 |
| Percentage of Variation between School & District | 2.23% |
| State | |
| From Unrestricted Sources | \$5,512 |
| Percentage of Variation between School & State | 21.88% |

