

Anthony Miller,
Principal
Anthony.Miller@
twinriverusd.org



5115 Dudley Blvd. McClellan, CA 95652 (916) 566-1600

Steven Martinez, Ed.D. Superintendent

Steve.Martinez@ twinriversusd.org

Board of Trustees

Linda Fowler, J.D.,
President

Michelle Rivas.

Vice President

Sonja Cameron,

Clerk

Michael Baker

Bob Bastian

Walter Garcia Kawamoto, Ph.D.

Rebecca Sandoval

Members

www.twinriversusd.org

Twin Rivers Unified School District Village School

CDS Code: 34-76505-6033617

6845 Larchmont Drive, North Highliands, CA 95660 (916) 566-1970

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card

Published in 2015-16 School Year

SARC Information

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1st of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in a LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- · View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA websites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

Principal's Message

Dear Parents/Guardians and Students,

Welcome to the 2015-2016 year! I would like to take this opportunity to welcome all of our returning families along with our new families and friends to Village School. I hope you had an enjoyable and relaxing summer break and you are now ready for an energizing 2015-2016 school year. The year ahead will be filled with many new and exciting changes for our families and students. Included in these changes are the additions of new staff members and student programs aimed at supporting and enhancing student achievement, and increased opportunities for family involvement.

I believe that working as partners in your child's education is vital if he/she is to be successful. And like many good partnerships, we as educators must keep parents fully informed of their child's progress. Therefore, during the school year you will receive correspondence from teachers regarding how your child is doing on his/her school work, along with assignments and project deadlines. Families are the most important teachers in their child's life, and their involvement in education is critical. The staff at Village is here to assist you in the successful educational growth of your child.

Again, I would like to welcome you back. Together we can ensure a fulfilling and rewarding year ahead. GO VIKINGS!!!

Sincerely,

A. Ted Miller, Principal

School Mission Statement (what we do)

Village TK-8 School is committed to equipping students with the tools they need for academic, personal and social achievement. Village TK-8 School enables every student to reach their highest potential by establishing a curriculum that meets or exceeds government standards for education; providing extracurricular programs that develop children's mental, physical and social skills; and partnering with parents and the community to create an environment geared to the success of all students.

District & School Profile (School Year 2015-16)

Twin Rivers Unified School District is California's newest unified school district, combining the former North Sacramento, Del Paso Heights, Rio Linda Union, and Grant Joint Union High School Districts. Started in the 2008-09 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District is located in Sacramento County and has 30 elementary schools, 5 middle schools, and four high schools. Our district also offers families the option of two alternative schools, a special education center, an independent learning center, two adult education centers, one community day school, one opportunity school, preschools and three dependent charter schools.

Village K-8 School had a beginning enrollment of 567 students in grades kindergarten through eight for the 2014-15 school year. Student demographics are illustrated in the chart.

Enrollment By Ethnicity Or Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

This chart illustrates the enrollment trend by ethnicity or student group.

Enrollment by Student G	Froup
2014-15	
	Percentage
Black or African American	11.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-
Asian	3.2%
Filipino	1.8%
Hispanic or Latino	48.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2%
White	29.7%
Two or More Races	5.2%
English Learners	25.8%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	91.6%
Students with Disabilities	13.9%
Foster Youth	1.6%

School Enrollment & Attendance (School Year 2014-15)

School districts receive financial support from the state for the education of the students they serve based on how many students attend each day. Most importantly, attendance is critical to academic achievement and regular daily attendance is a priority at the school. Student attendance is carefully monitored to identify those students exhibiting excessive absences.

Attendance, tardy, and truancy policies are clearly stated, consistently enforced, and consequences fairly administered. Parents are advised of their responsibilities, including proper notification of when and why students are absent. The school monitors student attendance very closely, makes phone calls to parents on a daily basis, and will send letters home if absences become a problem.

Students are referred to the School Attendance Review Board (SARB) when they have persistent attendance and behavior problems in school, and when the normal avenues of classroom, school, and district counseling are not effective

This chart illustrates the enrollment trend by grade level for the past three school years.

Enrollment Trend by Grade Level									
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15						
K	74	69	44						
1st	49	60	51						
2nd	59	57	58						
3rd	66	58	47						
4th	59	66	59						
5th	58	54	61						
6th	56	67	53						
7th	89	102	104						
8th	93	93	90						

Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- · School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

The Federal No Child Left Behind Act requires that all teachers in core subject areas meet certain requirements in order to be considered as "Highly Qualified". Minimum qualifications include: possession of a Bachelor's Degree, possession of an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated competence in core academic subjects. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

Note: High-poverty schools have student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools have student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less.

NCLB Compliant Teachers								
	% of Core Academic Courses Taught By Highly Qualified Teachers	% of Core Academic Courses Taught By Non-Highly Qualified Teachers						
School	100.0%	0.0%						
All Schools in District	78.5%	21.5%						
High-Poverty Schools in District	78.5%	21.5%						
Low-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A						

Teacher Assignment

The district recruits and employs the most qualified credentialed teachers. This chart shows information about teacher credentials.

Teacher Credential Status										
	School District									
	13-14	14-15	15-16	15-16						
Fully Credentialed	26	26	26	1270						
Without Full Credentials	0	0	0	21						
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	43						

Misassignments refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Teacher vacancies reflect the number of positions to which a single designated certificated employee has not been assigned at the beginning of the year for an entire semester or year.

Misassignments/Vacancies										
	13-14	14-15	15-16							
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0							
Misassignments of Teachers (other)	0	0	0							
Total Misassignments of Teachers	0	0	0							
Vacant Teacher Positions	2	3	0							

Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Twin Rivers Unified held a public hearing on October 6, 2015, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of *Williams vs. the State of California*. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in June 2015, about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and the instructional materials used at the school.

District-Adopted Textbooks									
Subject	Publisher	Adoption Year	Sufficient	% Lacking					
Algebra I	College Board	2014	Yes	0.0%					
ELD	National Geographic / Hampton Brown	2008	Yes	0.0%					
English/Language Arts	MacMillan/McGraw-Hill CA Treasures	2010	Yes	0.0%					
English/Language Arts	McDougal Littell	2002	Yes	0.0%					
History/Social Science	Holt	2006	Yes	0.0%					
History/Social Studies	Pearson Scott Foresman	2006	Yes	0.0%					
History/Social Studies	Prentice Hall	2006	Yes	0.0%					
Life Science	Holt	2007	Yes	0.0%					
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin	2015	Yes	0.0%					
Physical Science	McDougal Littell	2007	Yes	0.0%					
Science	Houghton Mifflin	2007	Yes	0.0%					
Science	Pearson Scott Foresman	2007	Yes	0.0%					
	Algebra I ELD English/Language Arts English/Language Arts History/Social Science History/Social Studies History/Social Studies Life Science Mathematics Physical Science Science	Subject Publisher Algebra I College Board BLD National Geographic / Hampton Brown English/Language Arts McDougal Littell History/Social Studies Pearson Scott Foresman History/Social Studies Prentice Hall Life Science Holt Mathematics Houghton Mifflin Physical Science McDougal Littell Houghton Mifflin	SubjectPublisherAdoption YearAlgebra ICollege Board2014ELDNational Geographic / Hampton Brown2008English/Language ArtsMacMillan/McGraw-Hill CA Treasures2010English/Language ArtsMcDougal Littell2002History/Social ScienceHolt2006History/Social StudiesPearson Scott Foresman2006History/Social StudiesPrentice Hall2006Life ScienceHolt2007MathematicsHoughton Mifflin2015Physical ScienceMcDougal Littell2007ScienceHoughton Mifflin2007	SubjectPublisherAdoption Year YearSufficientAlgebra ICollege Board2014YesELDNational Geographic / Hampton Brown2008YesEnglish/Language ArtsMacMillan/McGraw-Hill CA Treasures2010YesEnglish/Language ArtsMcDougal Littell2002YesHistory/Social ScienceHolt2006YesHistory/Social StudiesPearson Scott Foresman2006YesHistory/Social StudiesPrentice Hall2006YesLife ScienceHolt2007YesMathematicsHoughton Mifflin2015YesPhysical ScienceMcDougal Littell2007YesScienceHoughton Mifflin2007Yes					

For a complete list, visit http://www.axiomadvisors.net/livesarc/files/34765056033617Textbooks_1.pdf

School Facilities & Safety (School Year 2015-16)

The current facilities were built in 1959. There are 18 permanent classrooms, four portable classrooms, a multipurpose room, a library/media center, a staff lounge, three playgrounds, and a Beanstalk preschool. The school was renovated from December 2008 to May 2009. Renovations included the addition of new technology SMART Boards, drop-down LCD monitors, new student furniture, new tile, new carpet, new cabinets, new sinks, new fencing, landscaping, and upgrades for handicap accessability.

The table shows the results of the most recent school facilities inspection. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been corrected or are in the process of remediation.

	School Facility Conditions									
	Da	ite of Las	st Inspec	tion: 09/14/2015						
O	erall Sur	nmary of	School	Facility Conditions: Good						
Items Inspected	Facility Component System Status			Deficiency & Remedial Actions Taken or Planned						
	Good	Fair	Poor							
Systems (Gas Leaks, Mech/HVAC, Sewer)	Х									
Interior	Х									
Cleanliness (Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation)	Х									
Electrical		х		Classroom 15 - there is an extension cord at the front of the classroom causing a trip hazard; Classroom 22 - there is an extension cord at the front of the classroom causing a trip hazard; Multipurpose Room - there are two banks of lights that aren't working.						
Restrooms/Fountains		Х		Boys Restroom by Room 10 - the partition stall door is missing the lock; Boys Restroom by Room 20 - the faucet is missing the push cap.						
Safety (Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials)	х									
Structural (Structural Damage, Roofs)	Х									
External (Grounds, Windows, Doors, Gates, Fences)	Х									

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. All schools are on a regular cleaning schedule. Each classroom is swept or vacuumed and the trash emptied. The cafeteria, gym, and all restrooms are cleaned daily. Grounds are groomed on a rotating basis, with trash, lawn, and plumbing issues addressed daily.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. One full-time and one part-time custodian ensures that all classrooms and facilities are in adequate condition. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. At the time of publication, 100% of the restrooms are in complete working order.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, paving, and floor systems. For the 2015-16 school year, the district has budgeted \$1,775,000.00 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents 0.60% of the district's general fund budget.

Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its predecessor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Standards Test

The California Standards Test (CST), a component of the CAASPP Program, is administered to all students in the spring to assess student performance in relation to the State Content Standards. Student scores are reported as performance levels: Advanced (exceeds state standards), Proficient (meets standards), Basic (approaching standards), Below Basic (below standards), and Far Below Basic (well below standards).

The first table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) in science (for grades 5, 8, and 10), for the most recent three-year period. The second table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

For detailed information regarding the results for each grade and performance level, see the CDE CAASPP Results Web site at http://caaspp.cde.ca.gov/caaspp2015/Index.aspx.

California Standards Test Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standards									
Subject		School			District		State		
	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	2015
Science (Grades 5, 8, and 10)	42	36	38	43	47	43	59	60	56

^{*}Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.

California Standards Test Percentage	•
of Students Meeting or Exceeding Sta	te
Standards	
Subgroups	

Subject	Science
District	43
School	38
Hispanic or Latino	33
White	42
Males	50
Females	27
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38
English Learners	23

^{*}Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress

California EC Section 60640 authorized the replacement of the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program with a new assessment program, referred to as the CAASPP. All students in grades three through eight and eleven were assessed using computer-based tests in English/Language Arts and Mathematics; students in grades five, eight, and ten were also tested in Science. Science assessments were paper-based and included California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Students receive an overall score for each subject, ranging from 2,000 to 3,000. Overall scores are reported within one of four levels:

- Performance Level 1: Standard not met Needs substantial improvement for success in future coursework.
- Performance Level 2: Standard nearly met May require further development for success in future coursework.
- Performance Level 3: Standard met Demonstrates progress toward mastery.
- Performance Level 4: Standard exceeded Demonstrates advanced progress toward mastery.

ELA results include information about the students' performance in the areas of reading, writing, listening, and research. Reports of mathematics results include information about students' performance in problem solving, using concepts and procedures, and communicating mathematical reasoning.

The table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) in English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11) and math (grades 3-8 and 11).

The following tables display information on student achievement at each performance level in English/Language Arts and Mathematics for the school by student groups for grades three through eight and eleven.

Note: A student is defined as socioeconomically disadvantaged if the student was eligible for the free or reduced-price lunch program (also known as the National School Lunch Program or NSLP), migrant, foster youth or homeless, or neither of the student's parents was a high school graduate.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress									
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards									
Subject	School	District	State						
English Language Arts/Literacy (Grades 3-8 and 11)	15	26	44						
Mathematics (Grades 3-8 and 11)	16	21	33						

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress - Grade 3													
English-Language Arts										Mathem	atics		
				Perce	nt Achie	evement	Level			Perce	ent Achie	evement	Level
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four
All Students	57	56	98.2	43	39	13	4	56	98.2	52	32	11	4
Male	57	29	50.9	41	38	14	3	29	50.9	48	28	17	7
Female	57	27	47.4	44	41	11	4	27	47.4	56	37	4	0
Black or African American	57	9	15.8					9	15.8				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	57	1	1.8					1	1.8				
Filipino													
Hispanic or Latino	57	25	43.9	24	52	20	4	25	43.9	44	40	16	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander													
White	57	15	26.3	67	27	7	0	15	26.3	47	33	7	13
Two or More Races	57	5	8.8					5	8.8				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	48	84.2	44	38	13	4	48	84.2	52	31	10	4
English Learners	57	12	21.1	50	42	8	0	12	21.1	58	25	17	0
Students with Disabilities	57	2	3.5					2	3.5				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

	C	alifornia A	ssessme	nt of Stu	ident P	erforma	nce and	Progress	- Grade 4	l e			
			Engli	ish-Lang	uage Art	s				Mathem	atics		
				Perce	Percent Achievement Level					Percent Achievement			
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Foui
All Students	61	60	98.4	62	18	12	7	60	98.4	35	37	23	3
Male	61	32	52.5	69	16	9	3	32	52.5	31	41	22	3
Female	61	28	45.9	54	21	14	11	28	45.9	39	32	25	4
Black or African American	61	5	8.2					5	8.2				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	61	3	4.9					3	4.9				
Filipino	61	1	1.6					1	1.6				
Hispanic or Latino	61	28	45.9	57	21	18	4	28	45.9	36	36	25	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander													
White	61	19	31.1	63	16	11	11	19	31.1	26	37	32	5
Two or More Races	61	4	6.6					4	6.6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	61	55	90.2	65	16	13	4	55	90.2	36	40	22	2
English Learners	61	11	18	73	27	0	0	11	18	36	55	0	0
Students with Disabilities	61	11	18	64	27	9	0	11	18	55	27	18	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

			Engli	sh-Lang	uage Art	S				Mathema	atics		
				Perce	nt Achi	evement	Level			Perce	nt Achi	evement	Level
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four
All Students	60	59	98.3	59	25	10	3	59	98.3	61	20	15	2
Male	60	27	45	52	26	15	4	27	45	56	19	19	4
Female	60	32	53.3	66	25	6	3	32	53.3	66	22	13	0
Black or African American	60	8	13.3					8	13.3				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	60	3	5					3	5				
Filipino													
Hispanic or Latino	60	26	43.3	54	35	12	0	26	43.3	62	23	12	4
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander													
White	60	19	31.7	68	16	5	5	19	31.7	53	26	16	0
Two or More Races	60	3	5					3	5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	60	57	95	60	25	11	4	57	95	60	21	16	2
English Learners	60	15	25	87	13	0	0	15	25	80	20	0	0
Students with Disabilities	60	8	13.3					8	13.3				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

	C	alifornia A	ssessmer	nt of Stu	ıdent P	erforma	nce and	Progress	- Grade 6				
			Engli	sh-Lang	uage Art	s				Mathem	atics		
				Perce	Percent Achievement Level					Percent Achievement Le			
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four
All Students	62	59	95.2	56	25	14	2	59	95.2	47	32	15	5
Male	62	34	54.8	65	24	9	0	34	54.8	47	29	18	6
Female	62	25	40.3	44	28	20	4	25	40.3	48	36	12	4
Black or African American	62	8	12.9					8	12.9				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	62	2	3.2					2	3.2				
Filipino													
Hispanic or Latino	62	28	45.2	57	29	11	0	28	45.2	43	39	18	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	62	1	1.6					1	1.6				
White	62	18	29	56	28	17	0	18	29	50	22	17	11
Two or More Races	62	2	3.2					2	3.2				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	62	53	85.5	58	25	13	2	53	85.5	49	34	11	6
English Learners	62	13	21	77	15	8	0	13	21	54	38	0	8
Students with Disabilities	62	10	16.1					10	16.1				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

	C	alifornia A	ssessmer	nt of Stu	ıdent P	erformaı	nce and	Progress	- Grade 7	7			
			Engli	sh-Lang	uage Art	S				Mathem	atics		
				Perce	Percent Achievement Level					Percent Achievement Level			
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four
All Students	105	100	95.2	53	24	17	1	102	97.1	54	33	9	2
Male	105	59	56.2	53	22	15	2	60	57.1	48	32	13	3
Female	105	41	39	54	27	20	0	42	40	62	36	2	0
Black or African American	105	8	7.6					8	7.6				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	105	3	2.9					3	2.9				
Filipino	105	4	3.8					4	3.8				
Hispanic or Latino	105	57	54.3	56	23	19	0	58	55.2	57	34	7	2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander													
White	105	25	23.8	48	24	16	4	26	24.8	46	35	12	4
Two or More Races	105	2	1.9					2	1.9				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	105	91	86.7	53	25	16	1	93	88.6	54	33	9	2
English Learners	105	26	24.8	85	15	0	0	26	24.8	77	23	0	0
Students with Disabilities	105	23	21.9	87	9	0	0	23	21.9	91	4	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

	С	alifornia A	ssessme	nt of Stu	ıdent P	erforma	nce and	l Progress	- Grade 8	3			
			Engl	ish-Lang	uage Arl	ts		Mathematics					
				Perce	Percent Achievement Level					Percent Achievement Leve			
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four	Number Tested	Percent Tested	One	Two	Three	Four
All Students	92	89	96.7	45	43	8	0	89	96.7	40	42	8	6
Male	92	43	46.7	35	47	14	0	43	46.7	21	49	12	12
Female	92	46	50	54	39	2	0	46	50	59	35	4	0
Black or African American	92	8	8.7					8	8.7				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Asian	92	6	6.5					6	6.5				
Filipino	92	4	4.3					4	4.3				
Hispanic or Latino	92	38	41.3	55	37	5	0	38	41.3	42	45	8	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	92	1	1.1					1	1.1				
White	92	26	28.3	35	42	15	0	26	28.3	35	38	12	8
Two or More Races	92	5	5.4					5	5.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92	80	87	46	41	8	0	80	87	43	39	9	5
English Learners	92	19	20.7	74	26	0	0	19	20.7	63	32	5	0
Students with Disabilities	92	13	14.1	69	15	0	0	13	14.1	54	31	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services													
Foster Youth													

State Priority: Other Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority:

· Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

Physical Fitness (School Year 2014-15)

In the spring of each year, Twin Rivers Unified schools are required by the state to administer a physical fitness test to all students in fifth, seventh and ninth grades. The physical fitness test is a standardized evaluation that measures each student's ability to perform fitness tasks in six major areas. Students that meet or exceed the standards in all six fitness areas are considered to be physically fit or in the healthy fitness zone (HFZ).

Percentage of Students in Healthy Fitness Zone									
2014-15									
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards						
5	20.3%	18.6%	27.1%						
7	_	_	_						

*Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.

Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Contact Information

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school office at 566-1970.

Parent & Community Involvement (School Year 2015-16)

Many opportunities for parent involvement exist at Village. We encourage and depend upon actively involved parents to be a vital part of our educational program.

We have monthly family nights sponsored by each grade level at the school and keep record of the number of parents and families in attendance at each event. Our goal is to provide as many opportunities as we can for parents to become and remain involved.

Our school's annual academic plan and school budget are developed by our school staff and approved by our community through the local School Site Council and the District Board of Trustees. In addition, we have an English Learner Advisory Committee to help provide support to students learning English. Our PTA members have helped us provide a school carnival, skate night, and movie night. New members are always welcome. Teachers welcome volunteers to help in the classroom, on fieldtrips, and for special projects.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- · Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- · Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Discipline & Climate for Learning

Students at Village TK-8 School are guided by specific rules and classroom expectations that promote respect, cooperation, courtesy and acceptance of others. All of our procedures are taught, modeled, and practiced on a regular basis. We acknowledge positive behaviors through many reinforcement programs. At monthly assemblies, we award students for displaying strong moral character.

We utilize a progressive discipline plan where students are given an opportunity to improve their behavior before extreme consequences such as suspensions are given. Students needing extra behavior support are referred to our BEST team. The BEST Team is a group of teachers who meet regularly to discuss and make decisions about school-wide discipline issues as well as specific behavior concerns with students.

The suspensions and expulsions for the past three years are illustrated in the chart. Suspensions are reported as total number of incidents, not students suspended, as some students may have been suspended on multiple occasions. Suspensions and expulsions rates reflect incidents divided by total enrollment.

Suspensions & Expulsions									
	Sı	uspensio	าร	E	s				
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15			
School	11.4%	13.3%	9.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%			
District	9.3%	8.8%	7.7%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%			
State	5.1%	4.4%	3.8%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%			

Safe School Plan (School Year 2015-16)

School safety is a primary concern of Village TK-8 School. The school is always in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Each classroom and office area has an Emergency Preparedness Guide. The school at large has regular fire drill, lock down, and duck and cover procedure rehearsals. In recent years, we have increased playground supervision which has reduced the student to supervisory ratio on the yard. The Safety Plan is reviewed annually with staff in August.

Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress (School Year 2014-15)

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) is a federal law enacted in January 2002 that reauthorized the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). It mandates that all students (including students who are economically disadvantaged, are from racial or ethnic minority groups, have disabilities, or have limited English proficiency) in all grades meet the state academic achievement standards for Mathematics and English/Language Arts by 2014. Schools must demonstrate "Adequate Yearly Progress" (AYP) toward achieving that goal. The Federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) requirements:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English/Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics.
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics.
- · Graduation rate (for secondary schools).

There are several consequences for schools that do not meet the AYP standards, including additional tutoring and replacing of staff. Students would also be allowed to transfer to schools (within their district) that have met their AYP, and the former school would be required to provide the transportation to the new site. Results of school, district, and state performance are displayed in the chart.

	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)									
	Sch	iool	Dis	trict	State					
Made AYP Overall	Yes		Y	es	Y	es				
Met AYP Criteria	English - Language Arts	Mathematics	English - Language Arts	Mathematics	English - Language Arts	Mathematics				
Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A		N/A	N/A				
Met Attendance Rate	Ye	es	Y	es	Yes					
Met Graduation Rate	N	/A	Y	es	Yes					

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Schools and districts receiving Federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English/Language Arts or Mathematics) or on the same indicator (graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP.

For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Federal Intervention Programs									
	School	District							
Program Improvement (PI) Status	In PI	In PI							
First Year in PI	2009-2010	2010-2011							
Year in PI (2015-16)	Year 4	Year 3							
# of Title I Schools Currently In PI	-	48							
% of Title I Schools Currently In PI	-	90.6%							

Class Size

The table indicates the average class size by grade level or subject area, as well as the number of classrooms that fall into each size category.

	Class Size Distribution											
					(Class	roor	ns C	ontai	ining	:	
		Average Class Size		St	1-20 Students			21-32 uder	_	33+ Students		
	13	14	15	13	14	15	13	14	15	13	14	15
By Grade Level												
K	25	23	22	-	-	-	3	3	2	-	-	-
1	25	30	26	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-
2	29	28	29	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-
3	21	28	23	1	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-
4	21	23	20	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-
5	28	25	29	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-
6	20	24	19	1	1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-
			В	y Sul	oject /	Area						
English	26	26	28	2	2	1	5	5	4	1	1	2
Mathematics	30	30	28	-	-	1	5	5	4	1	1	2
Science	30	30	24	-	-	2	5	5	4	1	1	2
Social Science	30	30	24	-	-	2	5	5	4	1	1	2

School Leadership

Leadership at Village TK-8 School is a responsibility shared between district administration, the principal, instructional staff, students, and parents. The district's emphasis is on a well-balanced and rigorous core curriculum at all grade levels. Instructional programs are aligned with state and district standards. Leadership duties are assumed by Principal Anthony (Ted) Miller. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the school plan to ensure instructional programs are consistent with students' needs and the school plan complies with district goals.

Counseling & Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

In addition to academics, the staff at Village TK-8 School strives to assist students in their social and personal development. Staff members are trained to recognize at-risk behavior in all students. The school values the importance of on-site counseling and has procedures in place to ensure that students receive the services they need.

Some students have exceptional academic needs that can be met through the Resource Specialist Program (RSP). RSP students receive additional instruction in identified academic areas based on Individual Education Plans (IEPs). The school employs a full-time RSP teacher who provides instruction within the general education classroom and through pull-out groups.

Village School teachers are sensitive to the unique needs of English Language Learner (ELL) students. ELL students are identified early and placed in classrooms where the teacher possesses the necessary certification for second language instruction (e.g. CLAD).

A Gifted and Talented Education (GATE) program is available for those students who qualify, where students receive challenging supplemental instruction.

The table lists the support service personnel available at Village School.

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Counseling & Support Services Staff									
	Number of Staff	Full Time Equivalent							
Academic Counselor	1	0.5							
Bilingual Paraeducators	1	0.5							
Library Technician	1	0.625							
Nurse	1	0.2							
Psychologist	1	0.5							
Resource Specialist Program (RSP) Teacher	1	1.0							
RSP Aide	1	0.75							
SDC Aide	3	2.0							
Special Day Class (SDC) Teacher	3	3.0							
Speech and Language Specialist	1	0.5							
Student Learning Coach	1	1.0							

Teacher Evaluation & Professional Development

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and both formal and non-formal, non-evaluative observations are designed to promote high quality instruction as well as comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by an administrator, who has been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria are adapted from the California Standards for the Teaching Profession and address the following domains: Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning, Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments, Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter, Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences, Assessing Student Learning, and Developing as a Professional Educator.

Teachers and other certificated staff build skills and develop educational concepts through the participation in conferences and after school workshops throughout the year. In the 2014-2015 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District offered 5 non-student professional development days for teachers. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) were organized, met regularly, and collaborated during dedicated periods at each school site during late-start days. Professional development sessions were focused on Transitioning/Implementation of the Common Core Standards for all grade levels, 21st Century Learning Skills, and Implementation of PLCs. For the 2014-2015 year, the district supported approximately 100 beginning teachers through the district's BTSA program and coached a cohort of NBCT (National Board Certification of Teachers) candidates.

Curriculum Development

All curriculum development at Village School revolves around the California State Common Core Standards. The writing and implementation of the Twin Rivers Unified School District's curriculum is an ongoing process. The district's curriculum guides are updated regularly to align with the state standards, district goals, and the statewide assessment program. Teachers align classroom curriculum to ensure that all students either meet or exceed state proficiency levels.

Student Recognition

Village K-8 School's comprehensive student recognition program contributes to the positive environment of the school and includes classroom and schoolwide awards. There is a schoolwide focus on achievement and citizenship. Students are recognized for the following:

- · Perfect Attendance
- · Viking of the Year Award
- · Community of Caring Assemblies
- PEER Mediators
- · Caught Being Good
- · Positive Calls Home
- · Individual Classroom Awards and Recognition

Extracurricular Activities

Village School recognizes that extracurricular activities enrich the educational and social development and experiences of students. Extracurricular activities offered at Village K-8 School include the following:

- Soccer
- Basketball
- START program
- PEER Mediators
- Student Leadership
- Drama Club
- Chess Club
- Tutoring
- Choir
- Running
- ArtMusic

School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

The chart illustrates the average teacher salary at the school and compares it to the average teacher salary at the district and state.

Average Teacher Salaries								
School & District								
School	\$66,692							
District	\$71,162							
Percentage of Variation	-6.3%							
School & State								
All Unified School Districts	\$72,971							
Percentage of Variation -8.								

Teacher & Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of the districts budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found at the CDE Web site, www.cde.ca.gov.

Average Salary Information			
Teachers - Principal - Superintendent			
2013-14			
_	District	State	
Beginning Teachers	\$40,800	\$43,165	
Mid-Range Teachers	\$55,607	\$68,574	
Highest Teachers	\$84,942	\$89,146	
Elementary School Principals	\$100,560	\$111,129	
Middle School Principals	\$105,080	\$116,569	
High School Principals	\$114,809	\$127,448	
Superintendent	\$205,358	\$234,382	
Salaries as a Percentage of Total Budget			
Teacher Salaries	32.0%	38.0%	
Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%	

Expenditures & Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Based on 2013-14 FY audited financial statements, the table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money that is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Expenditures per Pupil		
School		
Total Expenditures Per Pupil	\$6,093	
From Supplemental/Restricted Sources	\$1,710	
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$4,383	
District		
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$5,201	
Percentage of Variation between School & District	-15.7%	
State		
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$5,348	
Percentage of Variation between School & State	-18.0%	

District Revenue Sources (Fiscal Year 14-15)

In addition to general state funding, Twin Rivers Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical funds and other support programs:

- Targeted Instruction Improvement Block Grant**
- PE Block Grant**
- School and Library Improvement Block Grant**
- EIA-LEP
- · Gifted and Talented Education
- EIA-SCE
- Carl Perkins
- · Healthy Start
- · Quality Education Investment Act
- Medi-Cal
- · After School Education & Safety
- Head Start
- State Lottery
- English Language Acquisition Program
- Title II
- · CA Partnership Academies
- Title ICharter School Fund
- Title IIISpecial Education
- Pupil Retention Block Grant