

Robert Pope, Principal Robert.Pope@ twinriversusd.org



5115 Dudley Blvd. McClellan, CA 95652 (916) 566-1600

Steven Martinez, Ed.D. Superintendent Steve.Martinez@ twinriversusd.org

Board of Trustees

Michelle Rivas,

President Linda Fowler, J.D.,

Vice President

Michael Baker,

Clerk

Basim Elkarra

Bob Bastian Ramona Landeros Rebecca Sandoval Members

www.twinriversusd.org

Twin Rivers Unified School District Elwood J. Keema High School

CDS Code: 34-76505-3430634

5201 Arnold Avenue, McClellan, CA 95653 (916) 566-3410

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published in 2017-18 School Year

SARC Information

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1st of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in a LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

• For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

· View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA websites.

 For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/ lc/.

• For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

Principal's Message

Keema High School is an Independent Study School which offers an alternative educational model for grades 7th through 12th. The school is located in the Twin Rivers Unified School District (TRUSD). Curriculum is designed to provided a rigorous and customized educational program.

Instruction from remediation to A-G instruction. Keema is a unique, 21st Century technology based school which provides all students a laptop computer, WiFi, and a personally assigned teacher. Education is provided both through on-line learning and traditional learning. Each student is assigned to one teacher. However, there are designated lab teachers and grade-level counselors on campus to provide students with additional learning through lab assistance as needed.

Additional support is provided through Keema's ELD program. The program is wide ranging and intensive in both Math and English subjects. Free breakfast and lunch are provided to those who qualify. Each student is assigned one teacher who records grades, provides instruction and guidance and assures that each student receives the assistance which is needed. Additional instruction is provided by lab teachers who are on site from opening to closing of the school day.

Parents are often assisted through Keema's Parent Resource Center where parents and children re provided information and guidance for obtaining a variety of resources which range beyond the capacity of Keema High School.

Keema High School consists of 4 campuses as follows:

- 1281 North Avenue, Sacramento, California 95838
- 630 L Street, Rio Linda, California, 95673
- 6601 Guthrie Way, North Highlands, California, 95660
- 5000 McCloud Drive, Sacramento, California 95842

Keema is continually striving to enhance the climate of students and the community through purposely supporting and providing an alternative and unique approach to educating each student one by one.

Robert Pope, Principal

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

School Misson Statement

The mission at Elwood J. Keema High School is to provide students an individualized path to success through a caring and safe environment.

District & School Profile (School Year 2017-18)

Twin Rivers Unified School District is California's newest unified school district, combining the former North Sacramento, Del Paso Heights, Rio Linda Union, and Grant Joint Union High School Districts. Started in the 2008-09 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District is located in Sacramento County and has 30 elementary schools, five middle schools, and four high schools. Our district also offers families the option of two alternative schools, a special education center, an independent learning center, two adult education centers, one community day school, one opportunity school, preschools and three dependent charter schools.

Enrollment By Ethnicity Or Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

This chart illustrates the enrollment trend by ethnicity or student group.

Enrollment by Student Group							
2016-17							
	Percentage						
Black or African American	10.3%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.8%						
Asian	3.9%						
Filipino	1.0%						
Hispanic or Latino	42.7%						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.6%						
White	31.4%						
Two or More Races	4.6%						
EL Students	7.7%						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.4%						
Students with Disabilities	0.8%						
Foster Youth	0.8%						

School Enrollment & Attendance (School Year 2016-17)

School districts receive financial support from the state for the education of the students they serve based on how many students attend each day. Most importantly, attendance is critical to academic achievement and regular daily attendance is a priority at the school. Student attendance is carefully monitored to identify those students exhibiting excessive absences.

Attendance, tardy, and truancy policies are clearly stated, consistently enforced, and consequences fairly administered. Parents are advised of their responsibilities, including proper notification of when and why students are absent. The school monitors student attendance very closely, makes phone calls to parents on a daily basis, and will send letters home if absences become a problem.

Students are referred to the School Attendance Review Board (SARB) when they have persistent attendance and behavior problems in school, and when the normal avenues of classroom, school, and district counseling are not effective. Enrollment Trend by Grade Level

7th

8th

9th

10th

11th

12th

2014-15

10

17

40

80

135

235

2015-16 2016-17

3

19

36

68

110

153

5

26

31

72

109

226

This chart illustrates the enrollment trend by grade level for the past three school years.

A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

• Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;

- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Assignment

The district recruits and employs the most qualified credentialed teachers. This chart shows information about teacher credentials.

Teacher Credential Status							
	School Dist						
	15-16	16-17	17-18	17-18			
Fully Credentialed	28	36	37	1256			
Without Full Credentials	0	0	0	60			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	28	36	37	43			

Misassignments refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Teacher vacancies reflect the number of positions to which a single designated certificated employee has not been assigned at the beginning of the year for an entire semester or year.

Misassignments/Vacancies								
	15-16	16-17	17-18					
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Misassignments of Teachers (other)	0	0	0					
Total Misassignments of Teachers	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	1	0					

Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Twin Rivers Unified held a public hearing on October 4, 2017, and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption. The table displays information collected in June 2017, about the quality, currency, and availability of the standardsaligned textbooks and the instructional materials used at the school.

District-Adopted Textbooks

Grade Levels	Subject	Publisher	Adoption Year	From Most Recent Adoption	% Lacking
7th- 12th	ELD	Pearson	2017	Yes	0.0%
7th- 12th	English/ Language Arts	Edgenunity	2017	Yes	0.0%
7th- 12th	History/ Social Studies	Edgenunity	2017	Yes	0.0%
7th- 12th	Mathematics	Edgenunity	2017	Yes	0.0%
7th- 12th	Science	Edgenunity	2017	Yes	0.0%

School Facilities & Safety (School Year 2017-18)

Keema High School was originally constructed in 1952. The campus is currently comprised of 8 classrooms, (32 teaching stations), 4 labs, counseling office, career center, enrollment office, diagnostic testing center, and parent resource center.

The table shows the results of the most recent school facilities inspection. While reviewing this report, please note that even minor discrepancies are reported in the inspection process. The items noted in the table have been corrected or are in the process of remediation.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. All schools are on a regular cleaning schedule. Each classroom is swept or vacuumed and the trash emptied. The cafeteria, gym, and all restrooms are cleaned daily. Grounds are groomed on a rotating basis, with trash, lawn, and plumbing issues addressed daily.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. One part-time custodian ensure that all classrooms and facilities are in adequate condition. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. At the time of publication, 100% of the restrooms are in complete working order.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, paving, and floor systems. For the 2017-18 school year, the district has budgeted \$1,896,380.00 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents 0.61% of the district's general fund budget.

School Facility Conditions							
t Inspect	ion: 09	/05/2017					
Overall Summary of School Facility Conditions: Good							
			Deficiency & Remedial				
Good	Fair	Poor	Actions Taken or Planned				
х							
Х							
х							
Х							
	x		Girls Restroom Next to Room 13 - there is one light fixture missing.				
х							
х							
х							
	t Inspect School F Facility Sys Good X X X X X X X X	t Inspection: 09/ School Facility Facility Comp System Sta Good Fair X X X X X X X X X X	t Inspection: 09/05/2017 School Facility Condition Facility Component System Status Good Fair Poor X 2 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X 2 X				

B. Pupil Outcomes State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

• Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/ literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and

• The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress

The California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) includes computer-based assessments. The computer-based assessments are the Smarter Balanced English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics tests, administered to grades three through eight and eleven. There is also the optional Standards-based Tests in Spanish (STS) for Reading/Language Arts (RLA).

In the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years, the Science assessments were paper-pencil tests for grades five, eight, and ten: the California Standards Tests (CSTs) for Science, the California Modified Assessment (CMA) for Science, and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) for Science. During the 2016–17 school year, the new California Science Test was pilot tested. This pilot test replaced the California Standards Tests and California Modified Assessment for Science. Because this was a pilot year, no Science scores will be disclosed.

The Smarter Balanced Assessment System utilizes computer-adaptive tests and performance tasks that allow students to show what they know and are able to do. Smarter Balanced summative assessment results include student scores, achievement levels, and descriptors that describe performance. These assessment results are only one of several tools used to measure a student's academic performance in ELA/Literacy and mathematics. Smarter Balanced assessment results are most appropriately interpreted alongside other available information about a student's academic achievement, including such measures as District assessments, classroom assignments and grades, classrooms tests, report cards, and teacher feedback.

For 2015–16 and 2016–17, this section includes the school, district, and state information on the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades three through eight and grade eleven. It also displays Science scores (grades 5, 8, and 10) for 2014-15 and 2015-16.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress									
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards									
Subject School District State									
	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017	2015	2016	2017
English Language Arts/Literacy (Grades 3-8 and 11)		13	15		31	32		48	48
Mathematics (Grades 3-8 and 11)		2	2		25	25		36	37
Science (Grades 5, 8, and 10)	16	10		43	40		56	54	

The following table displays information on student achievement by student groups for the school in ELA and Mathematics with all grades combined (grades three through eight and eleven).

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress									
		English-Language Arts							
Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	% Met or Exceeded CA Standard	Number Tested	Percent Tested	% Met or Exceeded CA Standard		
All Students	181	130	71.82	15.38	117	65.00	1.71		
Male	89	63	70.79	15.87	56	63.64	1.79		
Female	92	67	72.83	14.93	61	66.30	1.64		
Black or African American	28	19	67.86	15.79	19	67.86	0		
Asian	12	11	91.67	27.27	9	75.00	11.11		
Hispanic or Latino	76	54	71.05	14.81	48	64.00	0		
White	43	30	69.77	13.33	26	60.47	3.85		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	158	110	69.62	13.64	101	64.33	1.98		
English Learners	30	23	76.67	8.7	22	73.33	0		

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the California Alternate Assessment. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

UC/CSU Course Completion

Students at Keema High School are encouraged to take University of California/California State University (UC/CSU) preparatory courses if they plan to attend a four-year university. All students must pass each course with a grade no lower than a 'C'.

UC/CSU Course Enrollment					
	Percentage				
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission (2016-17)	94.1%				
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/ CSU Admission (2015-16)	-				
* Duplicated Count (one student can be enrolled in several					

courses).

State Priority: Other Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Physical Fitness (School Year 2016-17)

In the spring of each year, Twin Rivers Unified schools are required by the state to administer a physical fitness test to all students in fifth, seventh and ninth grades. The physical fitness test is a standardized evaluation that measures each student's ability to perform fitness tasks in six major areas. Students that meet or exceed the standards in all six fitness areas are considered to be physically fit or in the healthy fitness zone (HFZ).

Percentage of Students in Healthy Fitness Zone						
2016-17						
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
7	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-			

*Scores are not disclosed when fewer than 10 students are tested in a grade level and/or subgroup.

C. Engagement State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in Keema High School's leadership teams, school committees, school activities or become a volunteer may contact the school's office at (916) 566-3410.

Parent & Community Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents play an important role at Keema High School through active participation and involvement in the School Site Council, Parent Advisory Committee and the Parent Project which offers parents the opportunity to participate in parenting skills training on a monthly basis. Parents are given the opportunity to participate in their child's individualized instruction.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension and expulsion rates; and
- · Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Discipline & Climate for Learning

Keema High School fosters an atmosphere that is conducive to learning, both on campus and at related activities. The school places emphasis on the safety and well-being of everyone at the school and believes that students have a right to learn and teachers have a right to teach. School rules and policies outlining dress code, attendance, school rules, and disciplinary procedures, are sent home to parents and students each summer and are printed in binder dividers. These rules are reviewed at the time of initial enrollment and at the signing of the Family School Compact at the beginning of the school year.

The suspensions and expulsions for the past three years are illustrated in the chart. Suspensions are reported as total number of incidents, not students suspended, as some students may have been suspended on multiple occasions. Suspensions and expulsions rates reflect incidents divided by total enrollment.

Suspensions & Expulsions								
	Sı	uspensio	ns	E	xpulsion	s		
	14-15	15-16	16-17	14-15	15-16	16-17		
School	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
District	7.71	7.78	7.10	0.02	0.00	0.30		
State	3.79	3.65	3.65	0.09	0.09	0.09		

Safe School Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The Safety Plan is revised annually in the fall by school site staff members. Revisions to the Safety Plan are communicated to all staff members annually. Key elements to the safety plan include evacuation plans, drills, shelter-in-place, and dismissal procedures. The new plan is approved by the Board of Trustees. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- · High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout & Graduation Rates (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Elwood J. Keema High School believes that effective instruction consists of the continuous building of new concepts upon existing ones and requires regular attendance and participation. In hopes of preventing and reducing dropouts, the following programs are made available to students: CAASPP prep lab and Sacramento Urban League.

Note: The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in the table.

Graduation & Dropout Rates									
		Dropout		G	araduatio	n			
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16			
School	31.2%	20.6%	15.5%	49.5%	56.4%	66.5%			
District	15.3%	9.2%	8.5%	77.6%	82.9%	84.3%			
State	11.5%	10.7%	9.7%	81.0%	82.3%	83.8%			

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Beginning with the graduating class of 2006, students in California public schools must pass both the English-Language Arts and mathematics portions of the California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2016-2017 school year in the 12th grade, the table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade 12 completion, including having passed both portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption. Detailed information about the CAHSEE can be found at the CDE Web site at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/hs/.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
	School	District	State
All Students	85.8%	71%	87.1%
African American/Black	75%	61.8%	79.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	75%	58.8%	80.2%
Asian	100%	87.2%	94.4%
Filipino	0%	63%	93.8%
Hispanic or Latino	84.2%	68.4%	84.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	100%	90%	86.6%
White	85.3%	78.5%	91%
Two or More Races	100%	62.7%	90.6%
English Learners	51.3%	42.6%	55.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.8%	72.4%	85.5%
Students with Disabilities	50%	53.7%	63.9%

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Additional Internet Access/Public Libraries

For additional research materials and Internet availability, students are encouraged to visit the public libraries located in the city and county of Sacramento, which contain numerous computer workstations. Visit www.saclibrary.org for more information and directions to the nearest branch.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not meet federal Annual Measurable Objective targets for two consecutive years in the same area or indicator, including English/Language Arts and Math testing participation rates, attendance rates, and graduation rates. After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not meet those goals.

Due to the flexibility allowed by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) that became law in December 2015 and reauthorized the ESEA, no LEA or school will be newly identified for PI in the 2017–18 school year. LEAs and schools that received Title I, Part A funds for the 2016–17 school year and were "In PI" in the 2016–17 school year will retain their same PI status and placement year for 2017–18. California elected not to require LEAs or schools to provide Supplemental Educational Services and Choice for the 2017–18 school year, as defined in Section 1116 of the ESEA.

LEAs and schools that received Title I, Part A funds for the 2016–17 school year and either had a status of "Not in PI" for the 2016–17 school year or did not receive Title I, Part A funds for the 2015–16 school year will have a status of "Not in PI" for the 2017–18 school year.

For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Federal Intervention Programs				
	School	District		
Program Improvement (PI) Status	Not in PI	In PI		
First Year in PI		2010-2011		
Year in PI		Year 3		
# of Title I Schools Currently In PI	-	48		
% of Title I Schools Currently In PI	-	90.6%		

School Leadership

Leadership at Keema High School is a responsibility shared among district administration, site administration, instructional staff and parents. Staff members participate on Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), the School Advisory Committee and the Leadership Team, which ensure that expected school wide performance standards are achieved.

Class Size

The table indicates the average class size by grade level or subject area, as well as the number of classrooms that fall into each size category.

Counseling & Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

In addition to academics, the staff at Keema High School strives to assist students in their social and personal development. Staff members are trained to recognize at-risk behavior in all students. The school values the importance of on-site counseling and has procedures in place to ensure that students receive the services they need. The counselor-to-pupil ratio is 1:389. The table lists the support service personnel available at Keema High School.

Additional hours are given to students requiring additional lab work in math and English Language Arts.

Keema High School teachers are sensitive to the unique needs of English Language Learner (ELL) students. ELL students are identified early and receive supplemental instruction in math and English Language Arts.Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Counseling & Support Services Staff			
	Number of Staff	Full Time Equivalent	
Academic Counselor	2	2.0	
Nurse	1	0.133	

Teacher Evaluation & Professional Development

Teacher Evaluation & Professional Development

The teacher evaluation process is one of the most critical professional development opportunities we have with our staff. A well-constructed evaluation helps to promote high quality instruction and is a fundamental way to support growth. Staff evaluations are completed through formal, non-formal, and non-evaluative interactions. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated at least two times annually and tenured teacher evaluations alternate every other year and can be extended up to 5 years. Staff evaluations are conducted by trained administrators and the criteria used is closely linked to the California Standards for the Teaching Profession (CSTP). The CSTP domains are; Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning, Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments, Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter, Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences, Assessing Student Learning, and Developing as a Professional Educator.

Teachers and Certificated support personnel work to build skills and develop educational concepts through the participation in conferences and after school workshops throughout the year. In the 2016-2017 school year, Twin Rivers Unified School District offered 3 to 5 non-student professional development days for teachers depending on the grade level assignments. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) were organized, met regularly, and collaborated during dedicated periods at each school site during early release days. Professional development sessions were focused on high quality first instruction, implementation of the Common Core Standards, Systems Thinking and leadership development, as well as numerous curricular support programs necessary to support student's continued growth. For the 2016-2017 year, the district also supported over 100 beginning teachers through our partnership with the Sacramento County Office of Education's Employee Induction Program.

Curriculum Development

All curriculum development at Keema High School revolves around the California State Common Core Standards and Frameworks. The writing and implementation of the Twin Rivers Unified School District's curriculum is an ongoing process. The district's curriculum guides are updated regularly to align with the state standards, district goals, and the statewide assessment program. Teachers align classroom curriculum to ensure that all students either meet or exceed state proficiency levels.

Vison Statement

Empowering students to take on all challenges and to realize their own potential.

School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The chart illustrates the average teacher salary at the school and compares it to the average teacher salary at the district and state.

Average Teacher Salaries				
School & District				
School	\$67,025			
District	\$73,962			
Percentage of Variation	-9.4%			
School & State				
All Unified School Districts	\$79,228			
Percentage of Variation	-15.4%			

Teacher & Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-2016)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of the districts budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found at the CDE Web site, www.cde.ca.gov.

Average Salary Information			
Teachers - Principal - Superintendent			
2015	5-16		
	District	State	
Beginning Teachers	\$45,390	\$47,808	
Mid-Range Teachers	\$57,705	\$73,555	
Highest Teachers	\$94,579	\$95,850	
Elementary School Principals	\$101,037	\$120,448	
Middle School Principals	\$105,044	\$125,592	
High School Principals	\$112,069	\$138,175	
Superintendent	\$260,000	\$264,457	
Salaries as a Percentage of Total Budget			
Teacher Salaries	33.0%	35.0%	
Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%	

District Expenditures (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Based on 2015-16 FY audited financial statements, the table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools throughout the state.

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money that is controlled by law or donor. Money designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

District Revenue Sources (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Expenditures per Pupil	
School	
Total Expenditures Per Pupil	\$5,095
From Supplemental/Restricted Sources	\$42
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$5,053
District	
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$5,947
Percentage of Variation between School & District	-15.0%
State	
From Basic/Unrestricted Sources	\$6,574
Percentage of Variation between School & State	-23.1%

Based on 2016-17 FY audited financial statements, the table provides a comparison of a school's per pupil funding from unrestricted sources with other schools throughout the state. In addition to general state funding, Twin Rivers Unified School District receives state and federal funding for the following categorical funds and other support programs:

- After School Education & Safety
- Arts in Education
- CA Partnership Academies
- Carl Perkins
- Charter School Fund
- EIA-LEP • EIA-SCE
- EIA-SCE
- Elementary and Secondary School Counseling Program
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Head Start
- Medi-Cal
- Pupil Retention Block Grant
- Special Education
- State Lottery
- Sustain Meaningful Arts
- Targeted Instruction Improvement Block Grant**
- Title I
- Title II
- Title III